



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

SOLUTION BY OLIVE C. HAZLETT, Bryn Mawr College.

The theorem is clearly true for all primes. Accordingly, assume the theorem is true for all divisors of $n = \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{e_i}$ which are less than n . Now for n the defining equation becomes

$$\phi(n) + A_n + p_1^{e_1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right) B_n = n,$$

where A_n is the sum of the ϕ -functions formed for the divisors of $p_1^{e_1-1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_k^{e_k}$ and B_n is a similar sum formed for all distinct factors of any of the numbers $p_2^{e_2-1} p_3^{e_3} \cdots p_k^{e_k}$, $p_2^{e_2} p_3^{e_3-1} p_4^{e_4} \cdots p_k^{e_k}$, \cdots . It is easy to find an expression for A_n , but it is sufficient for our purposes to note that A_n is a polynomial in p_2, \cdots, p_k of degree at most $\sum_{i=2}^k e_i - 1$. Therefore $p_1^{e_1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right)$ is a factor of $\phi(n)$. Since this proof is perfectly general, it holds for every expression of the form $p_i^{e_i} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i}\right)$ ($i = 1, \cdots, p$), and thus $\prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{e_i} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i}\right)$ is a factor of $\phi(n)$. Comparing the coefficients of $\prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{e_i}$ our formula is proved.

Also solved by H. C. FEEMSTER.

QUESTIONS AND DISCUSSIONS.

SEND ALL COMMUNICATIONS TO U. G. MITCHELL, University of Kansas, Lawrence.

DISCUSSIONS.

I. RELATING TO FINDING DERIVATIVES OF TRIGONOMETRICAL FUNCTIONS.

By T. H. HILDEBRANDT, University of Michigan.

In most textbooks on the elementary calculus the derivatives of the trigonometric functions are based on the derivative of the sine function, which, in turn, is derived from the definition of derivative. The proofs dealing with the value of this derivative seem to have something indirect about them. All goes well until the point is reached where the expression

$$\lim_{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sin(x + \Delta x) - \sin x}{\Delta x}$$

is to be evaluated, and then one of two methods is used. Either $\sin(x + \Delta x)$ is expanded by the formula for the sine of the sum of two angles and the formula for $1 - \cos x$ in terms of half angles is used, or the formula for the difference of two sines is used. Both of these latter formulæ have long since escaped the memory of the average sophomore student—if they ever had lodging there—and he practically accepts this part of the derivation on faith.

While it must be admitted that the most natural beginning for a chapter on the derivatives of trigonometrical functions is a paragraph devoted to finding the derivative of the sine, this advantage is more than counterbalanced by the simplicity with which it is possible to obtain the derivative of the tangent function directly from the definition of derivative—a fact which seems almost to have

escaped the attention of writers of textbooks on calculus. For this purpose it is possible to proceed in either of two ways, both of which are elegant and altogether natural and direct. If we take $\tan x = \sin x / \cos x$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\tan(x + \Delta x) - \tan x &= \frac{\sin(x + \Delta x)}{\cos(x + \Delta x)} - \frac{\sin x}{\cos x} \\ &= \frac{\sin(x + \Delta x) \cos x - \cos(x + \Delta x) \sin x}{\cos(x + \Delta x) \cos x} \\ &= \frac{\sin \Delta x}{\cos(x + \Delta x) \cos x}.\end{aligned}$$

If we divide now by Δx and take the limit as Δx approaches zero, then $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} (\sin \alpha) / \alpha = 1$ is applicable for evaluating the derivative. Or, proceeding directly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tan(x + \Delta x) - \tan x &= \frac{\tan x + \tan \Delta x}{1 - \tan x \tan \Delta x} - \tan x \\ &= \frac{(1 + \tan^2 x) \tan \Delta x}{1 - \tan x \tan \Delta x}.\end{aligned}$$

Then by using the fact that $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} (\tan \alpha) / \alpha = 1$, we again get at once the value of the derivative of the tangent. Either of these methods yields this derivative without any troublesome trigonometrical transformations.

On the basis of the derivative of the tangent, the remaining derivatives are easily obtained in the order $\sec x$, $\cos x$, and $\sin x$: the secant by taking derivatives in the relation

$$\tan^2 x + 1 = \sec^2 x,$$

the cosine through the fact that it is the reciprocal of the secant, and the sine from one of the relations

$$\sin x = \cos(\pi/2 - x), \quad \sin x = \cos x \tan x.$$

We get, then, in this way the derivatives which are used most, and we employ only trigonometrical relations which are familiar to the average sophomore student.

II. RELATING TO THE PROOF THAT A RIGID BODY MOVING ABOUT A FIXED POINT IS AT EACH INSTANT ROTATING ABOUT AN AXIS THROUGH THAT POINT.

By E. L. REES, University of Kentucky.

The following proof of this theorem differs from other proofs by methods in that it is based upon an interesting geometric interpretation of the equations involved.